

# Integrals, an Introduction to Analytic Number Theory

ILAN VARDI, *Stanford University*

ILAN VARDI: I got my Ph.D. in Number Theory from M.I.T. in 1982, as a student of Dorian Goldfeld. I then spent a year at the Institute for Advanced Study. I was an acting assistant professor at Stanford from 1983 to 1985. After realizing that not everybody cared about Kloosterman Sums, I learned how to use a computer and tried out some applied math. I'm now interested in special functions related to determinants of Laplacians.



**1. Introduction.** An examination of Gradshteyn and Ryzhik's book of integral tables reveals a large number of difficult and obscure integral formulas. In my opinion one of the most remarkable is given on p. 532

$$\int_{\pi/4}^{\pi/2} \log \log \tan x \, dx = \frac{\pi}{2} \log \left[ \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)} \sqrt{2\pi} \right], \quad (1)$$

where

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{s-1} \, dt, \quad s > 0$$

is the classical  $\Gamma$ -function. The reference given is to Bierens de Haan [2]. Failing to locate the proof of this formula, I decided to study equation (1) in some depth. It turns out that this formula requires some fairly involved analysis to prove, and also serves as a good example of how nontrivial number theory can be embedded in an integral formula.

The key to equation (1) is the *Dirichlet L-function*

$$L(s) = 1 - \frac{1}{3^s} + \frac{1}{5^s} - \frac{1}{7^s} \cdots$$

This is a well-known function; for example every calculus student knows the formula

$$L(1) = 1 - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} \cdots = \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

Also, by the alternating series test  $L(s)$  converges for  $0 < s < 1$ . However, much more is known and Hurwitz proved that  $L(s)$  can be analytically continued to an entire function in the whole complex plane. He did this by proving the *functional equation*

$$L(1-s) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^s \sin \frac{\pi s}{2} \Gamma(s) L(s). \quad (2)$$

What we will, in fact, show is that

$$\int_{\pi/4}^{\pi/2} \log \log \tan x \, dx = \frac{d}{ds} \Gamma(s) L(s) \Big|_{s=1} \quad (3)$$

Invoking the well-known formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(1) &= 1 \\ \Gamma'(1) &= -\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma$  is *Euler's constant*,

$$\gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n} \right) - \log n \right\} = .577215664901532860606512 \dots,$$

equation (3) becomes

$$\int_{\pi/4}^{\pi/2} \log \log \tan x \, dx = -\gamma \frac{\pi}{4} + L'(1).$$

So the proof of equation (1) will consist of 2 parts: a) establishing (3) b) expressing  $L'(1)$  in terms of logarithms of  $\Gamma$ -functions.

**2. Proof of equation (3).** We begin with a general *Dirichlet series*

$$F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^s},$$

which, if  $f$  is of polynomial growth, will converge absolutely in a half-plane  $Re(s) > c$ . We now use the technique first developed by Riemann to study the Riemann  $\zeta$ -function

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{s-1} dt = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-nt} (nt)^{s-1} d(nt),$$

so

$$\frac{\Gamma(s)}{n^s} = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-nt} t^{s-1} dt.$$

Hence, by absolute convergence, one gets that for  $Re(s) > c$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(s) F(s) &= \Gamma(s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n) \int_0^{\infty} e^{-nt} t^{s-1} dt \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n) e^{-nt} \right) t^{s-1} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $z = e^{-t}$ , this gives

$$\Gamma(s) F(s) = \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n) z^n \right) \left( \log \frac{1}{z} \right)^{s-1} \frac{dz}{z}.$$

Now we add the restriction that  $f(n)$  be a *periodic* function. That is, there is a positive integer  $q$  such that  $f(n + q) = f(n)$  for all  $n$  (for technical reasons also assume that  $f(q) = 0$ ). With these assumptions we have that for  $|z| < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)z^n &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{q-1} f(mq + n)z^{mq+n} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{q-1} f(n)z^n}{1 - z^q} = \frac{P(z, f)}{1 - z^q}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$P(z, f) = \sum_{n=1}^{q-1} f(n)z^n.$$

We have thus obtained the formula:

$$F(s)\Gamma(s) = \int_0^1 \frac{P(z, f) \left(\log \frac{1}{z}\right)^{s-1}}{1 - z^q} \frac{dz}{z}. \quad (4)$$

This formula was first obtained by Dirichlet (see [3]) to derive his *class number formula* of which  $L(1) = \pi/4$  is the simplest case. Differentiating equation (4) by Leibniz's rule gives

$$\frac{d}{ds} F(s)\Gamma(s) = \int_0^1 P(z, f) \frac{\left(\log \frac{1}{z}\right)^{s-1}}{1 - z^q} \log \log \left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \frac{dz}{z}.$$

Now if  $F(s)$  converges absolutely at  $s = 1$  this will yield

$$F'(1) - \gamma F(1) = \int_0^1 P(z, f) \log \log \left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \frac{dz}{z}. \quad (5)$$

To prove equation (1) we let  $q = 4$  and pick  $f(n)$  to be the *quadratic character (mod 4)* that is

$$\chi_4(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ -1 & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}.$$

$\chi_4$  is called the quadratic character (mod 4) because for  $(n, 4) = 1$

$$\chi_4(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \exists x \text{ s.t. } x^2 \equiv n \pmod{4} \\ -1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

while  $\chi_4(n) = 0$  if  $(n, 4) > 1$ .

So we have

$$P(z, \chi) = z - z^3$$

and equation (5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} L'(1) - \gamma \frac{\pi}{4} &= \int_0^1 \frac{(z - z^3) \log \log \frac{1}{z}}{1 - z^4} \frac{dz}{z} \\ &= \int_0^1 \log \log \left( \frac{1}{z} \right) \frac{dz}{1 + z^2} = \int_1^\infty \log \log u \frac{du}{1 + u^2} \\ &= \int_{\pi/4}^{\pi/2} \log \log \tan x \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

**3. Evaluating  $L'(1)$ .** It turns out that it is much easier, first, to evaluate  $L'(0)$ , then use the functional equation  $L(s) \rightarrow L(1 - s)$  to obtain the value for  $L'(1)$ .

To compute  $L'(0)$  we follow a method due to André Weil [7]. Let

$$\zeta(s, a) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+a)^s}, \quad 0 < a \leq 1,$$

be the *Hurwitz  $\zeta$ -function*. It is easily shown to converge for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ . Using the integral formula [8]

$$\Gamma(s) \zeta(a, s) = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-at}}{1 - e^{-t}} t^{s-1} dt$$

one can show that  $\zeta(a, s)$  can be analytically continued to the whole complex plane with only a simple pole at  $s = 1$ . The relevance of  $\zeta(a, s)$  is due to the formula

$$L(s) = 4^{-s} \left[ \zeta\left(s, \frac{1}{4}\right) - \zeta\left(s, \frac{3}{4}\right) \right];$$

thus evaluating  $\zeta'(0, a)$  will yield the value of  $L'(0)$  (for ease of notation we have written  $\zeta'(s, a)$  to denote  $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \zeta(s, a)$ ). Weil's observation is the following: note that for  $s > 1$

$$\zeta(s, a+1) = \zeta(s, a) - \frac{1}{a^s},$$

thus

$$\zeta'(s, a+1) = \zeta'(s, a) + a^{-s} \log a,$$

and at  $s = 0$

$$\zeta(0, a+1) = \zeta(0, a) + \log a.$$

Letting

$$G(a) = e^{\zeta'(0, a)},$$

we see that  $G(a)$  satisfies the functional equation

$$G(a+1) = aG(a).$$

Further, one has that

$$\frac{d^2}{da^2} \log G(a) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+a)^2} > 0, \quad \text{for } a > 0,$$

and that

$$G(a) \text{ is analytic for } a > 0.$$

These however are the exact conditions for the *Bohr-Mollerup Theorem* for the uniqueness of the Gamma function [1]. Thus one has that

$$G(a) = G(1)\Gamma(a).$$

One sees that  $G(1) = \zeta'(0, 1)$ , and on noting that  $\zeta(s, 1) = \zeta(s)$ , where  $\zeta(s)$  is the Riemann  $\zeta$ -function, one has

$$G(1) = \zeta'(0).$$

It is well known that  $\zeta'(0) = -(1/2)\log 2\pi$  (e.g., [6], [8]), and so

$$\zeta'(0, a) = \log \frac{\Gamma(a)}{\sqrt{2\pi}}.$$

Substituting this in the formula for  $L(s)$  one derives

$$L'(0) = \log \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)} - L(0)\log 4.$$

By the functional equation and  $L(1) = \pi/4$  one gets that

$$L(0) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

And once again by the functional equation

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \frac{d}{ds} \Gamma(s)L(s) \Big|_{s=1} = \frac{1}{2} \log 4 + \log \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)} + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\pi}{2},$$

and thus

$$L'(1) = \gamma \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2} \log \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)} \sqrt{2\pi}.$$

This concludes the proof of equation (1).

**4. More formulas!** There are actually quite a number of identities in Gradshteyn and Ryzhik similar to (1). For example, there are

$$\int_0^1 \log \log \left( \frac{1}{x} \right) \frac{dx}{1+x+x^2} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} \log \left[ \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)} (2\pi)^{1/3} \right], \quad \text{page 571} \quad (6)$$

$$\int_0^1 \log \log \left( \frac{1}{x} \right) \frac{dx}{1-x+x^2} = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{3}} \left[ \frac{5}{6} \log 2\pi - \log \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{6}\right) \right], \quad \text{page 572.} \quad (7)$$

One sees that in equation (6) 3 plays the “key role” and in equation (7) 6 is the “magic number.” To explain this one introduces *Dirichlet characters* (mod  $q$ )

$\chi$  is a Dirichlet character (mod  $q$ ) if

$$\chi(1) = 1$$

$$\chi(n+q) = \chi(n) \quad \forall n$$

$$\chi(n) = 0 \quad \text{if } (n, q) > 1$$

$$\chi(mn) = \chi(m)\chi(n) \quad \forall m, n.$$

The corresponding Dirichlet  $L$ -function is

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$$

and can be continued to an entire function if  $\chi$  is not the *trivial character*

$$\chi_0(n) = 1 \quad \text{if } (n, q) = 1$$

Now the analogous character to  $\chi_4$  in equation (6) is the quadratic character (mod 3)

$$\chi_3(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ -1 & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}$$

and in equation (7) the corresponding character is the quadratic character (mod 6)  $\chi_6(n)$ . Hence we have the  $L$ -functions

$$L(s, \chi_3) = 1 - \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{4^s} - \frac{1}{5^s} \cdots$$

$$L(s, \chi_6) = 1 - \frac{1}{5^s} + \frac{1}{7^s} \cdots$$

The proofs of (6) and (7) are completely analogous to our proof of equation (1). One can further explain how the numbers 3, 4, 6 play the key roles in our formulas. First rewrite equation (1) in the same form as (6) and (7)

$$\int_0^1 \log \log \left( \frac{1}{x} \right) \frac{dx}{1+x^2} = \frac{\pi}{2} \log \left[ \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)} \sqrt{2\pi} \right].$$

Note that the solutions of  $x^2 + 1$  are 4th roots of unity,  $i$  and  $-i$ , and one explains why  $L(s, \chi_4)$  is involved by noting that it can be shown from the *Quadratic Reciprocity Theorem* that

$$\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}(i)}(s) = L(s, \chi_4) \zeta(s),$$

where  $\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}(i)}(s)$  is the *Dedekind zeta function* of the field  $\mathbf{Q}(i)$ , and the classical definition (e.g., [5]) of the Dedekind  $\zeta$ -function of the number field  $K$  is

$$\zeta_K(s) = \sum_{\substack{A \subseteq K \\ A \text{ ideal}}} \frac{1}{N(A)^s}.$$

Similarly,  $x^2 + x + 1$  is the irreducible polynomial for the 3rd roots of unity,  $-1/2 \pm \frac{\sqrt{-3}}{2}$ , and, as above,  $L(s, \chi_3)$  appears because

$$\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-3})}(s) = L(s, \chi_3) \zeta(s).$$

Similarly,  $x^2 - x + 1$  gives the 6th roots of 1, so, as above, one expects  $L(s, \chi_6)$  to play the central role.

## 5. Exercises.

1) Show that

$$\int_1^e \log(-\log \log y) dy = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log n}{n!} - \gamma e.$$

Hint: consider

$$L_f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-1)! n^s}.$$

2) Find a similar formula for

$$\int_e^{e^e} \log(-\log \log \log y) dy.$$

## REFERENCES

1. E. Artin, *The Gamma Function*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1964.
2. D. Bierens de Haan, *Nouvelles Tables d'intégrales définies*, Amsterdam, 1867.
3. H. Davenport, *Multiplicative Number Theory*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1980.
4. I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of integrals, Series, and Products*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
5. E. Hecke, *Lectures on the Theory of Algebraic Numbers*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1981.
6. I. Vardi, *Determinants of Laplacians and Multiple Gamma Functions*, preprint, 1986.
7. A. Weil, *Elliptic Functions According to Eisenstein and Kronecker*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1976.
8. E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *A Course of Modern Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1965.