On the zeros of the zeta function and eigenvalue problems

M. R. Pistorius*

August 5, 2016

Abstract. In this paper we provide a proof of the Riemann Hypothesis by relating the non-trivial zeros of the zeta function to a certain Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem on the unit interval.

1 Main result

The Riemann Hypothesis (RH) is the conjecture that the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ all have real part equal to $\frac{1}{2}$. In [3] it was established that the function $\zeta(s)$ which is for $\Re(s) > 1$ defined by

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

can be extended to a meromorphic function with a unique simple pole at s = 1, by the identification of an entire function $\xi(s)$ that satisfies the functional equation

$$\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$$

and that is given in terms of $\zeta(s)$ and the Gamma function $\Gamma(s)$ by

$$\xi(s) = \frac{s(s-1)}{2} \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s)$$

RH is phrased as follows in terms of $\Xi(t) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} + it)$:

$$\Xi(t) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Theorem 1 *RH* holds true, and the zeros $t_1, t_2, ...$ are such that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0: \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |t_n|^{-1-\varepsilon} < \infty.$$
(1)

^{*}Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London, UK, m.pistorius@imperial.ac.uk 2000 AMS Subject Classification. 11M26.

A function similar to $\Xi(t)$ was shown in [2] to have only real zeros, by linking this function to a certain operator of Sturm-Liouville type. Inspired by this approach, we identify another Sturm-Liouville problem, the eigenfunctions of which are related to $\Xi(t)$, and deduce by drawing on classical results that the zeros *t* of $\Xi(t)$ are real; the eigenvalue problems are presented in Section 2, while the proof of Theorem 1 is given in Section 3.

2 A class of eigenvalue problems

Let $D = C^2([0, 1], \mathbb{C})$ denote the set of C^2 functions $f : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{C}$ and let p, q and $r : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{C}$ be Borel functions that are such that

$$\int_0^1 \{ |p(x)| + |q(x)| + |r(x)| \} \, \mathrm{d}x < \infty.$$
⁽²⁾

For $f \in D$ denote by f' the derivative of f and consider the following boundary problem of Sturm-Liouville type on the unit interval (0, 1):

$$\frac{f'}{r} \Big)' + (\lambda^2 p + q) f = 0,$$

$$f(0) = 1, \quad f(1) = 0,$$

$$f \in D, \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{C}.$$
(3)

The following result which concerns the eigenfunctions $u(\cdot, \lambda)$ of (3) and the corresponding eigenvalues λ^2 is well-known (the statement is taken from [1, Theorem 8.3.1]):

Theorem 2 Suppose that p,q and r are (a) nonnegative, (b) satisfy (2), and are such that (c) for any x, 0 < x < 1,

$$\int_0^x p(z) \, dz > 0, \quad \int_x^1 p(z) \, dz > 0, \quad \int_0^1 r(z) \, dz > 0$$

and (d) if for some $x_1, x_2, 0 \le x_1 < x_2 \le 1$ *we have*

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_2} p(z) \,\mathrm{d}z = 0,$$

then

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_2} |q(z)| \,\mathrm{d}z = 0.$$

Then the boundary problem (3) has at most countably many eigenvalues λ_1^2 , λ_2^2 ,..., all of which are real, and which are such that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0: \qquad \sum_{\lambda_n \neq 0} |\lambda_n|^{-1-\varepsilon} < \infty.$$
(4)

The eigenfunctions $u(x, \lambda_n)$ *are orthogonal in the sense that*

$$\int_0^1 p(x) u(x, \lambda_n) u(x, \lambda_m) \, \mathrm{d}x = 0, \qquad n \neq m.$$

We next present a particular family of solutions to (3). For any $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$ and non-negative Borelmeasurable function $b : \mathbf{R}_+ \to \mathbf{R}_+$ that satisfies

(a)
$$\int_0^\infty b(y) \, \mathrm{d}y = 1;$$
 (b) $\forall t \in \mathbf{R}_+ : \int_0^\infty \exp\{ty\} \, b(y) \, \mathrm{d}y \in \mathbf{R}_+,$ (5)

define the function $v_b(\cdot, \mu) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ by

$$v_b(x,\mu) = \int_0^\infty \cos\left(\mu\left(\frac{\exp\{x\} - 1}{\exp\{1\} - 1}\right)y\right)b(y)\,\mathrm{d}y.$$
 (6)

Lemma 3 For $\mu \in C$ that is such that

$$v_b(1, \mu) = 0,$$
 (7)

Ι,

 $v_b(\,\cdot\,,\,\mu\,)$ is an eigenfunction of (3) with eigenvalue μ^2 which is real.

Proof. Let *p*, *q* and *r* be given by

$$r(x) = \exp\{x\},\$$

$$p(x) = \exp\{-x\} \left(\frac{\exp\{x\}}{\exp\{1\} - 1}\right)^{2},\$$

$$q(x) = 0.$$

As integration and differentiation may be interchanged under the integrability condition (5), it is straightforward to check that $v = v_b(\cdot, \mu)$ is such that v(0) = 1 and v(1) = 0 and for any 0 < x < 1

$$v''(x) = -\mu^2 \left(\frac{\exp\{x\}}{\exp\{1\} - 1}\right)^2 v(x) + v'(x)$$

= $v(x) \left[-\mu^2 r(x) p(x) - r(x) q(x) \right] + v'(x) \left[\frac{r'(x)}{r(x)} \right]$

Hence $v_b(\cdot, \mu)$ is an eigenfunction of (3) with eigenvalue μ^2 which is real by Theorem 2. QED

3 Proof of Theorem 1

As noted in [3], Ξ admits the following expression as Fourier cosine integral:

$$\Xi(t) = 4 \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{d(x^{\frac{3}{2}}\psi'(x))}{dx} x^{-\frac{1}{4}} \cos\left(\frac{t}{2}\log x\right) dx,$$
(8)
$$\psi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp\{-n^{2}\pi x\}.$$

An exponential change-of-variables in (8) yields the following well-known alternative expression for $\Xi(t)$:

$$\Xi(t) = 2 \int_0^\infty \cos(tx) \Phi(x) dx,$$

$$\Phi(x) = 2\pi \exp\left\{\frac{5x}{2}\right\} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left(2\pi \exp\{2x\}n^2 - 3\right) n^2 \exp\{-n^2\pi \exp\{2x\}\}.$$

As $\Phi(x)$ has the asymptotic decay (where $f(x) \sim g(x)$ if $\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 1$)

$$\Phi(x) \sim 4\pi^2 \exp\left\{\frac{9x}{2} - \pi \exp\{2x\}\right\}, \quad x \longrightarrow \infty,$$

it satisfies the exponential integrability condition in (5) (with b(x) replaced by $\Phi(x)$). Also, $\Phi(x)$ is positive for any $x \in \mathbf{R}_+$. In terms of the function $\Psi(x)$ given by $2\Phi(x)/\Xi(0)$ we have from Lemma 3 that

$$\{t^2 \in \mathbf{C} : v_{\Psi}(1,t) = 0\} \subset \mathbf{R}.$$
(9)

The observation

$$\forall t \in \mathbf{C} : \qquad \Xi(t) = \Xi(0) v_{\Psi}(1, t)$$

in conjunction with (9) and the fact that $\Xi(t) > 0$ for all *t* with $\Re(t) = 0$ completes the proof of RH. Eq. (1) follows by combining Theorem 2 and Lemma 3. QED

References

- [1] F. V. ATKINSON, *Discrete and Continuous Boundary Problems*. Academic Press, New York, London, 1964.
- [2] G. PÓLYA, Bemerkung über die Integraldarstellung der Riemannschen ξ-function. Acta mathematica 48 (1926), 305–317.
- [3] B. RIEMANN, Über die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grösse, Monat. der Königl. Preuss. Akad. der Wissen. zu Berlin aus der Jahre 1859 (1860), 671–680.